ISOLATED DETERMINATION INFORMATION SHEET

(To be included with file copy when isolated wetlands/waters on site)

INFORMATION SHEET

DETERMINATIONS OF NO JURISDICTION FOR ISOLATED, NON-NAVIGABLE, INTRA-STATE WATERS RESULTING FROM U.S. SUPREME COURT DECISION IN SOLID WASTE AGENCY OF NORTHERN COOK COUNTY V. U.S. ARMY CORPS OF ENGINEERS

| DISTRICT OFFICE: | CE-SAW |
|------------------|--------|
|------------------|--------|

FILE NUMBER: <u>SAW-2006-41795-010</u>

REGULATORY PROJECT MANAGER: <u>Frye</u> Date: <u>9/6/2007</u>

PROJECT REVIEW/DETERMINATION COMPLETED: In the office y (Y/N) Date: 2/1/2007

At the project site (Y/N) Date: _____

PROJECT LOCATION INFORMATION:

State: North Carolina
County: Brunswick

Center coordinates of site by latitude & longitudinal coordinates: N. 34.2055 W. 78.0570

Approximate size of site/property (including uplands & in acres): 5.70 acres

Name of waterway or watershed: Morgan Branch

SITE CONDITIONS:

| Type of aquatic resource ¹ | 0-1 ac | 1-3 ac | 3-5 ac | 5-10 ac | 10-25 ac | 25-50 ac | > 50 ac | Linear feet | Unknown |
|--|--------|--------|--------|---------|----------|----------|---------|----------------|---------|
| Lake | | | | | | | | | |
| River | | | | | | | | | |
| Stream | | | | | | | | | |
| Dry Wash | | | | | | | | | |
| Mudflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Sandflat | | | | | | | | | |
| Wetlands | | | | | | | | | |
| Slough | | | | | | | | | |
| Prairie pothole | | | | | | | | | |
| Wet meadow | | | | | | | | | |
| Playa lake | | | | | | | | | |
| Vernal pool | | | | | | | | | |
| Natural pond | | | | | | | | | |
| Other water (identify type) | X | | | | | | | | |
| upland pond | _ | | | | | | | | |
| la l | | | | L | <u> </u> | L . | 11 | | L |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe type of isolated, non-navigable, intra-state water present and best estimate for size of non-jurisdictional aquatic resource area.

| Migratory Bird Rule Factors ¹ : | If Known | | If Unknown | | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | | | Use Best Professional Judgment | | | |
| | Yes | No | Predicted | Not Expected to | Not Able To Make | |
| | | | to Occur | Occur | Determination | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for birds protected by | | | | | X | |
| Migratory Bird Treaties? | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat by other migratory birds that | | | | | X | |
| cross state lines? | | | | | | |
| Is or would be used as habitat for endangered species? | | | | | X | |
| Is used to irrigate crops sold in interstate commerce? | | | | | X | |
| 1Charle appropriate have that hast describe notantial for appli | achility of th | . Migueteur | Dind Dula to | unnly to onsite non | inviadiational isolated | |

¹Check appropriate boxes that best describe potential for applicability of the Migratory Bird Rule to apply to onsite, non-jurisdictional, isolated, non-navigable, intra-state aquatic resource area.

Preliminary _

Or

Approved X.

TYPE OF DETERMINATION:

 $OPITIONAL\ ADDITIONAL\ INFORMATION\ SUPPORTING\ NJD\ (e.g.,\ discussion\ may\ include\ information\ reviewed\ to\ assess\ potential\ navigation\ or\ interstate\ commerce\ connections\ -\ 1\ to\ 3\ paragraphs):$

The aesthetic pond had been dug in uplands and has not outfall/surface water connection to any jurisdictional waters.